

Uncovering and Predicting the dynamic process of Information Cascades with survival model

Linyun Yu¹

Peng Cui¹ Fei Wang² Chaoming Song³

Shiqiang Yang¹

Chlab

MEDIA AND NETWORK

¹Department of Computer Science and Technology, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China ²Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Connecticut, USA ³Department of Physics, University of Miami

Information Cascade

Cascading Process Prediction







NEtworked WEibull Regression (NEWER)

 $F(\lambda, k, \beta, \gamma) = G_1(\lambda, k) + \mu G_2(\beta, \lambda) + \eta G_3(\gamma, k)$

the last calculation.

Evaluation Result

Outbreak time prediction *NEWER - exp - rayleigh - cox





Contact

Name: Linyun Yu 余林韵 Mail: mail@scalerhyme.com https://www.scalerhyme.com

Cascade Size Prediction

Cascading Process Prediction

200 400 600 800 1 Observation Number 200 400 600 800 1000 Observation Number Average improvements in early stage:

Efficiency of the Method

Method	Without Sampling Strategy	With Sampling Strategy ($\delta = 0.1$)
Size ≥ 20	$8.47 * 10^5 s$	10.73s
Size ≥ 50	$7.61 * 10^5 s$	8.62s
Size ≥ 100	$6.65 * 10^5 s$	7.09s
Size ≥ 500	$4.35 * 10^5 s$	4.33s
Size > 1000	3 4 × 10 ⁵ e	3 30e

improvements: 10^5

Running time for cascade size prediction

Size	Without Sampling Strategy	With Sampling Strategy
	ber arrog,	$(\epsilon_1 = 0.1 \text{ and } \epsilon_2 = 0.1)$
20	$1.4 * 10^{12}$	$4.2 * 10^{6}$
50	$3.5 * 10^{12}$	$7.6 * 10^6$
100	$6.9 * 10^{12}$	$1.4 * 10^{7}$
500	$3.5 * 10^{13}$	$3.4 * 10^{7}$
around 1000	$6.9 * 10^{13}$	$4.2 * 10^{7}$

improvements: 10^6

percentage accuracy when we have only 20% early stage informations.

Calculation number for cascade process prediction